

\$~69

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 665/2020

ASSOCIATION OF 1985 HOUSING SCHEME Petitioner

Through: Ms.Monisha Handa, Mr.Mohit
D.Ram, Mr.Sachin Kaushal, Advs.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr.Arjun Pant, Adv. for R-1.
Ms.Warisha Farasat and Ms.Hafsa
Khan, Advs. for R-2.
Ms.Mini Pushkarna, SC, Ms.Swagata
Bhuyan, Ms.Khushboo Nahar and
Ms.Latika Malhotra, Advs. for R-3
alongwith Mr.Vidya Bhushan, UDC.
Ms.Rashmi Bansal and Mr.Nitesh,
Adv. for R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

17.01.2020

CM No.1869/2020 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 665/2020

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the eligibility criteria No. 10 under the Terms and Conditions for allotment of flat under the 'Residential Flats Registration Scheme for Slum Dwellers and others-1985'.
2. The said condition lays down income of Rs.3 lacs as an eligibility

criteria for the applicant to be considered for allotment of a flat under the said Scheme.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent no.3, who appears on an advance notice, submits that this issue is no longer *res-integra*. Placing reliance on the order dated 07.02.2019 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in a batch of petitions, including WP(C) 1615/2013, titled *Arvind Rawal v. Union of India & Anr.*, and the order dated 29.05.2019 passed by the another learned Single Judge of this Court in WP(C) 7518/2016, titled *Rajesh Kumar & Anr. v. The Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors.*, she submits that similar challenge has been rejected by this Court.

4. I find merit in the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondent no.3.

5. Similar challenge having already been rejected by this Court in the abovementioned orders, I find no merits in the present petition and same is dismissed. There shall be no order as to cost.

JANUARY 17, 2020/Arya

NAVIN CHAWLA, J