## GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DELHI URBAN SHELTER IMPROVEMENT BOARD FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY ROOM NO. 45, PUNERWAS BHAWAN I.P. ESTATE, NEW DELHI-02 RTI APPEAL MATTER

No. BFO/DUSIB/RTI-Appeal/2022/D-141

dt. 31-10-2022

## **ORDER**

Present Sh. Dinesh Kumar (appellant) Absent Sh. K.L.Sharma, Dy. Chief Accountant-I (PIO)

- 1. The appellant Sh. Dinesh Kumar has preferred an appeal against the information provided by the PIO vide reply dated 4-10-2022. The appellant has submitted that, the reply tendered by the PIO/APIO was not satisfactory and requested for hearing.
- 2. The appellant was accordingly provided an opportunity of hearing on 21-10-2022. The applicant was present however the PIO Sh. K.L. Sharma Chief Accountant-I abstained from the hearing, nor proper response or clarification was furnished by the PIO with regards to the appeal.
- 3. Perused the RTI application of the appellant, dated. 5-09-22 and observed that, the information regarding various transactions of DUSIB through Bank of Baroda, Daryaganj Branch with Aggarwal Kiryana Store, in Nov 2020 and registering of FIR against DUSIB employee & court case, in the Bank of Baroda scam matter was sought.
- 4. Perused the reply tendered by the PIO vide letter dated. 4-10-22, which in reply to information against question 1-2, states that, no money was sent by DUSIB. With respect to question no 3-4, PIO submits that the information does not concerns the department. Regarding the information sought against question no. 5& 6, PIO submits that, no FIR has been registered against any person. The reply to question no. 7, PIO submitted that, matter was under consideration of Investigation Agencies and with respect to question 8, it was submitted that, matter was sub-judice before the court.
- 5. Heard the arguments preferred by the appellant as the PIO abstained form hearing. The appellant submits that, he was aggrieved by the reply of PIO in respect of the question no. 7 and 8, which did not specifically as to the total amount of money and the details of the case and therefore the reply was incomplete.
- 6. After having perused the contents of the appeal, information provided by the PIO and the arguments preferred by the appellant, I observe that, the PIO has defaulted in providing the information with respect to the information sought vide the RTI application para 7 and 8 and tried not to reveal the relevant information as no reasons for not providing the complete information was either stated in the reply letter or during the hearing by abstaining from the same.



- 7. Accordingly, the appeal is admitted, and the PIO is directed to provide the information within the period of thirty days form the final date of hearing that is 21-10-22 to the appellant.
- 8. In case the appellant is not satisfied with this order, he may file 2nd appeal with Chief Information Commissioner at CIC Bhawan, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi under section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 within 90 days of receipt of the decision of First Appellate Authority.

Appeal disposed of accordingly.

First Appellate Authority (BFO)

Copy to :-

- Sh. Dinesh Kumar (appellant), B-60, 3rd Floor, East Jyoti Nagar, 1. Loni Road, Delhi-93
- Sh. K.L. Sharma, PIO/ Dy. Chief Accountant-I 2.

Dy. Director (IT) for uploading the order.

31.10/22 31/10/22